

Additions

Peninsula Township Invoice Approval Report

VENDOR	DESCRIPTION/DISTRIBUTION	AMOUNT
ABBOTT ELECTRIC INC	FLAG POLE LIGHT <i>101-265-930.000</i> 162.50	\$162.50
AKERLEY SALLY	MICHIGAN TAX TRIBUNAL STIPULATION FEE <i>101-209-956.000</i> 25.00	\$25.00
AVERY MARY	MILEAGE <i>101-253-870.000</i> 126.36 <i>508-000-870.000</i> 91.80	\$218.16
CONSUMERS ENERGY	JULY 2016 TOWNHALL STREETLIGHT <i>101-265-926.000</i> 26.06	\$26.06
CONSUMERS ENERGY	JULY 2016 4016 SWANEY STREETLIGHT <i>208-751-926.000</i> 19.07	\$19.07
CONSUMERS ENERGY	JULY 2016 STREETLIGHTS <i>101-000-226.010</i> 10.44 <i>101-265-926.000</i> 17.60 <i>101-265-926.000</i> 28.56 <i>101-000-226.000</i> 14.28 <i>101-000-226.075</i> 18.85 <i>206-000-926.000</i> 10.03 <i>101-265-926.000</i> 10.03 <i>208-751-926.000</i> 40.11 <i>101-000-226.030</i> 10.03 <i>101-000-226.040</i> 10.03 <i>101-000-226.060</i> 140.39 <i>101-000-226.070</i> 10.03 <i>206-000-926.000</i> 12.52 <i>101-265-926.000</i> 12.43	\$345.33
CONSUMERS ENERGY	JULY 2016 FD2 STREETLIGHT <i>206-000-926.000</i> 13.03	\$13.03
CONSUMERS ENERGY	JULY 2016 BHP STREETLIGHT <i>208-751-926.000</i> 21.63	\$21.63
CORREIA, PETER	MILEAGE <i>101-171-870.000</i> 144.18	\$144.18
CORREIA, PETER	SUPERVISOR MEETING <i>101-171-960.000</i> 12.58	\$12.58
CORREIA, PETER	MTA MEETING <i>101-171-960.000</i> 14.00	\$14.00

VENDOR	DESCRIPTION/DISTRIBUTION	AMOUNT
CORREIA, PETER	SUPERVISOR MEETING <i>101-171-960.000</i>	<i>15.00</i> \$15.00
CRYSTAL FLASH PETROLEUM	426.30 GALS SAFE BOAT GAS <i>206-000-751.000</i>	<i>1,137.86</i> \$1,137.86
ENGINEERED PROTECTION SYSTEMS	ALARM SYSTEM LIGHTHOUSE <i>508-000-818.000</i>	<i>126.69</i> \$126.69
GT COUNTY TREASURER	APRIL, MAY, JUNE 2016 MYY BOR ADJUSTMENTS <i>101-000-961.000</i> <i>206-000-961.000</i> <i>207-000-961.000</i> <i>297-000-961.000</i> <i>212-000-961.000</i>	<i>185.40</i> <i>308.17</i> <i>36.41</i> <i>506.18</i> <i>132.96</i> \$1,169.12
HERITAGE FLAG & BANNER	12 4X6 FLAGS, 288 12X18" FLAGS, 12 GRAVE HOLDERS <i>206-000-726.000</i> <i>101-173-726.000</i> <i>101-265-726.000</i>	<i>114.00</i> <i>342.00</i> <i>932.16</i> \$1,388.16
INT'L INSTIT OF MUNICIPAL CLERK	MEMBERSHIP <i>101-215-958.000</i>	<i>250.00</i> \$250.00
MCCARDEL CULLIGAN WATER	COOLER RENTAL <i>101-173-818.000</i>	<i>21.00</i> \$21.00
PRINTING SYSTEMS	POSTCARDS PERM AV <i>101-191-726.000</i>	<i>641.46</i> \$641.46
PRINTING SYSTEMS	500 SECRECY ENVELOPES AND CARDBOARD POLL BOOTH <i>101-191-726.000</i>	<i>82.78</i> \$82.78
RECORD EAGLE (PUBS)	JUNE 2016 PUBS <i>206-000-900.000</i> <i>297-000-726.000</i> <i>101-101-967.LHB</i> <i>101-400-900.000</i> <i>101-430-900.000</i>	<i>129.20</i> <i>562.50</i> <i>267.90</i> <i>432.75</i> <i>117.25</i> \$1,509.60
SCHOOLMASTER, CLAIRE	MILEAGE <i>101-420-870.000</i>	<i>11.34</i> \$11.34
STAPLES CREDIT PLAN	PAPER, INK CARTIDGES, PENCILS <i>101-400-726.000</i> <i>101-225-726.000</i>	<i>3.29</i> <i>401.55</i> \$404.84
STEVEN H. SCHWARTZ	GENERAL MATTERS <i>206-000-801.UNI</i>	<i>3,500.00</i> \$3,500.00
WILKINSON ROBERT	MAINTENANCE <i>101-265-818.000</i> <i>208-751-818.000</i>	<i>896.47</i> <i>2,414.53</i> \$3,311.00

VENDOR	DESCRIPTION/DISTRIBUTION	AMOUNT
YOUNG, GRAHAM, ELSENHEIMER	LEGAL FEES	\$7,158.00
	<i>101-101-967.LHB</i>	<i>711.00</i>
	<i>206-000-801.000</i>	<i>217.00</i>
	<i>101-101-801.000</i>	<i>46.50</i>
	<i>101-101-967.LHB</i>	<i>46.50</i>
	<i>101-101-801.000</i>	<i>341.00</i>
	<i>101-410-801.000</i>	<i>775.00</i>
	<i>101-101-801.000</i>	<i>20.00</i>
	<i>101-400-801.000</i>	<i>20.00</i>
	<i>101-420-801.000</i>	<i>40.00</i>
	<i>206-000-801.000</i>	<i>20.00</i>
	<i>297-000-801.000</i>	<i>10.00</i>
	<i>101-101-801.000</i>	<i>1,193.50</i>
	<i>101-101-801.000</i>	<i>620.00</i>
	<i>208-751-801.000</i>	<i>62.00</i>
	<i>206-000-801.000</i>	<i>170.50</i>
	<i>101-410-801.000</i>	<i>356.50</i>
	<i>101-420-801.000</i>	<i>279.00</i>
	<i>101-430-801.000</i>	<i>527.00</i>
	<i>101-420-801.000</i>	<i>1,672.50</i>
	<i>208-751-801.000</i>	<i>30.00</i>
YOUNG, GRAHAM, ELSENHEIMER	LEGAL FEES	\$30.00
	<i>591-000-801.000</i>	<i>30.00</i>
YOUNG, GRAHAM, ELSENHEIMER	LEGAL FEES	\$30.00
	<i>590-000-801.000</i>	<i>30.00</i>
Total:		\$21,788.39

Robert Wilkinson
18426 Cinder Rd.
Interlochen, MI 49643
7/11/2016

Dear Peninsula Township/Parks,

I, Robert B. Wilkinson, regretfully wish to terminate my current contract effective on October 1st, 2016. I am ending the contract on this date because it falls at the end of the summer maintenance, and before the fall clean-ups and snowplowing.

My reasons for ending the contract early are:

1. Lack of qualified help
2. Burden of travel distance

I have enjoyed working for the Township/Parks over the last few years, but without qualified help, I am unable to maintain my contract to the high standards that I strive to deliver.

The distance I have to travel to maintain the properties from my home is also taking a toll as I spend a minimum of 2 hours a day just in transport.


Please note, I have no issues with anyone on the Parks board, Township board, staff, or residents. I have loved working with everyone here and enjoyed my interaction with the residents I have come into contact with.

There are certain tasks that I would be willing to continue with if it can be worked out with the respective boards, but I am also willing to end my service in its entirety if that is desired.

I hope this notice allows you plenty of time to find a suitable replacement, and I am willing to help with the transition.

Respectfully,

Robert Wilkinson



YOUNG, GRAHAM, ELSENHEIMER & WENDLING, P.C.
Attorneys at Law
P.O. Box 398
Bellaire, MI 49615
(231) 533-8635

Invoice submitted to:
Peninsula Township
13235 Center Road
Traverse City, MI 49686

July 08, 2016

In Reference To: Telephone Retainer Quarterly Summary
Invoice # 19481

	<u>Amount</u>
Professional Services	
<u>Fire Board</u>	
4/5/2016 email from Monica regarding fire board; telephone call from Monica regarding fire board matters, township equipment and upcoming meeting	NO CHARGE
SUBTOTAL:	[0.00]
<u>Miscellaneous/General</u>	
4/4/2016 return call to Sally and answer questions regarding special assessment districts	NO CHARGE
4/5/2016 telephone call from Sally regarding trusts and signatures on special assessment request	NO CHARGE
4/6/2016 telephone call from Monica regarding fire board	NO CHARGE
4/7/2016 additional request to respond to updated FOIA; provide information to Susan regarding same	NO CHARGE
email from Sally regarding questions pertaining to proposed special assessment districts for storm drainage for both Braemar and Logan Hills; telephone call to Sally to answer questions in email	NO CHARGE
4/11/2016 review email from Susan regarding FOIA, call Susan regarding same	NO CHARGE
telephone call from Monica regarding township board meeting	NO CHARGE
4/12/2016 review additional email from Susan regarding FOIA request, respond	NO CHARGE

	<u>Amount</u>
4/12/2016 call from Monica regarding agenda items	NO CHARGE
telephone call from Michelle regarding subpoena from attorney Roy and whether there is a need for her to attend court on Wednesday	NO CHARGE
4/13/2016 email from Monica regarding FOIA, call to Monica regarding same	NO CHARGE
4/18/2016 emails from Monica regarding conflict of interest issue; call to Monica and email responses regarding same	NO CHARGE
4/25/2016 email to Sally regarding 50% requirement of land for owners in a petition to file a special assessment district under PA 188 of 1954	NO CHARGE
telephone call from Pete Correia regarding land division	NO CHARGE
4/26/2016 received and reviewed email from township clerk with township board minutes and agenda	NO CHARGE
telephone call from Pete and Sally regarding meeting and litigation	NO CHARGE
4/27/2016 received and reviewed email from township clerk regarding Kahn lawsuit	NO CHARGE
4/28/2016 received and reviewed email from township assessor with Kahn handout	NO CHARGE
received and reviewed copy of email from township clerk regarding sending Kuhn complaint to insurance company	NO CHARGE
5/2/2016 email from Sally regarding special assessment district, forward to Jim	NO CHARGE
5/3/2016 telephone call to township assessor regarding property transfer matter	NO CHARGE
5/9/2016 received and reviewed email from township clerk regarding Kahn insurance coverage; telephone call to township clerk regarding matter	NO CHARGE
received and reviewed email from township assessor regarding resolution to rename street; email to township assessor with answer to question posed	NO CHARGE
5/11/2016 received and reviewed email from township assessor regarding street name change; email to township assessor regarding matter	NO CHARGE
received and reviewed email from township assessor regarding street change matter	NO CHARGE
5/17/2016 telephone call from office manager concerning FOIA matter	NO CHARGE
5/18/2016 telephone call from Pete regarding upcoming meeting	NO CHARGE
5/23/2016 telephone call from Monica regarding school resolution	NO CHARGE
return telephone call to township treasurer regarding contract review matter	NO CHARGE
5/24/2016 telephone call from Pete Correia regarding recent items in the news involving the township	NO CHARGE

	<u>Amount</u>
5/31/2016 received and reviewed email from office manager regarding Wahl FOIA matter;email to office manager regarding matter	NO CHARGE
telephone call from township clerk and office manager regarding Wahl FOIA matter and regarding Kahn matter	NO CHARGE
received and reviewed copy of email from office manager concerning Wahl FOIA matter	NO CHARGE
6/9/2016 telephone call from Monica regarding various questions on Bluff Road speed and upcoming agenda	NO CHARGE
6/14/2016 telephone call from Monica regarding tonight's meeting	NO CHARGE
call from Monica regarding information she found out on master plan adoption	NO CHARGE
6/22/2016 two calls from Monica regarding subpoena of former employee records	NO CHARGE
	<hr/>
SUBTOTAL:	[0.00]
<u>Park Commission</u>	
6/30/2016 return telephone call to Maura concerning bid question	NO CHARGE
	<hr/>
SUBTOTAL:	[0.00]
<u>Special Assessment Districts</u>	
5/19/2016 telephone call from Sally regarding special assessment district	NO CHARGE
5/24/2016 telephone call from Monica Hoffman regarding special assessments	NO CHARGE
6/1/2016 email from Monica regarding special assessment district questions, respond	NO CHARGE
email from Sally regarding special assessment district and June township board meeting	NO CHARGE
6/8/2016 email and call from Sally regarding follow up to prepare for Braemar Estates public hearing, respond	NO CHARGE
review email from Brian Boals to Sally regarding special assessment district	NO CHARGE
6/20/2016 telephone call from Sally regarding special assessment district	NO CHARGE
6/28/2016 question regarding easement on Braemar from Sally, answer	NO CHARGE
	<hr/>
SUBTOTAL:	[0.00]

Amount

Zoning matters

4/4/2016 telephone call from Michelle regarding Oosterhouse and Bowers Harbor	NO CHARGE
4/18/2016 telephone call from Michelle regarding meeting	NO CHARGE
4/19/2016 call from Michelle regarding additional Bonobo issue	NO CHARGE
5/2/2016 telephone call from Michelle regarding possible ordinance violation	NO CHARGE
5/4/2016 telephone call from Michelle regarding zoning matter	NO CHARGE
5/12/2016 telephone call from Clare regarding upcoming zoning board of appeals meeting	NO CHARGE
5/19/2016 telephone call from Michelle regarding zoning matter	NO CHARGE
5/25/2016 telephone call from township planner regarding zoning ordinance amendment procedures; email to township planner with checklist	NO CHARGE
6/1/2016 telephone call from Michelle regarding new proposed development	NO CHARGE
6/7/2016 email from zoning administrator regarding conservation easement and request for zoning permit, answer	NO CHARGE
email from Michelle regarding zoning board of appeals alternate, answer	NO CHARGE
6/21/2016 telephone call from Michelle regarding zoning	NO CHARGE
6/29/2016 email to zoning administrator regarding shared waterfront regulation in effect in 1987, with copy to township planner	NO CHARGE
 SUBTOTAL:	 [0.00]
 For professional services rendered	 \$0.00
 Balance due	 \$0.00

June 19, 2016

To Members of The Township Board:

I am writing to express my concern about a couple of matters.

Public Notice of Meetings

You had a public hearing on amendment 190 last month. Unfortunately I was unable to attend as I did not find out about it until the next day when I casually went on the town website. I understand that part of your legal obligation was met when you posted it in the record-eagle in May. However most people do not read that newspaper anymore. I think notice should have been put on your website at least at the same time as the notice in the newspaper. This would offer more transparency for the citizens of the township. In addition I am not aware that any abutting landowners to the existing bed and breakfasts were given notice of the hearing as required by state zoning laws. Sec. 103. (1) Except as otherwise provided under this act, if a local unit of government conducts a public hearing required under this act, the local unit of government shall publish notice of the hearing in a newspaper of general circulation in the local unit of government not less than 15 days before the date of the hearing. (2) Notice required under this act shall be given as provided under subsection (3) to the owners of property that is the subject of the request. Notice shall also be given as provided under subsection (3) to all persons to whom real property is assessed within 300 feet of the property that is the subject of the request and to the occupants of all structures within 300 feet of the subject property regardless of whether the property or structure is located in the zoning jurisdiction.

That being said I would hope you would reopen the public hearing at the next township meeting so that I may make my views known. If not I will state them here for your consideration.

New Definition For a Bed and Breakfast

If I read your amendment you are trying to change the definition of a bed and breakfast so that it refers to a residential home where guests stay that 'may' serve food and or drinks.

You also want to limit to a minimum of three acres the amount of land necessary to operate a bed and breakfast.

Definition may be preempted by Michigan State Law

The proposed definition is contrary to the State of Michigan definition which is similar to your existing definition. That is that a bed and breakfast serves food. I would think you would want to get a legal opinion on that matter before moving forward.

125.1504b Bed and breakfast. (Michigan definition)

Sec. 4b.

(1) A bed and breakfast is considered under the code to be a single family residential structure and shall not be treated as a hotel or other facility serving transient tenants. This section is effective throughout the state without local modification, notwithstanding the exemption provisions of section 8.

(2) This section does not affect local zoning, fire safety, or housing regulations.

(3) As used in this section, "bed and breakfast" means a single family residential structure that meets all of the following criteria:

(a) Has 10 or fewer sleeping rooms, including sleeping rooms occupied by the innkeeper, 1 or more of which are available for rent to transient tenants.

(b) *Serves meals at no extra cost to its transient tenants.*

(c) Has a smoke detector in proper working order in each sleeping room and a fire extinguisher in proper working order on each floor.

Attempt to sweep in airbnb establishments under bed and breakfast umbrella

By eliminating the requirement to serve food you are trying to sweep in what has become known as airbnb establishments. Airbnbs do not serve food. The term derives from its origin of providing an airmattress or a bed and bathroom to travelers.. It is a new internet way of offering travelers an alternative to hotels, motels, inns and bed and breakfasts. It has become very popular with travelers worldwide. This model joins other services offering alternatives to traditional ways of serving consumers. Perhaps you are familiar with Uber and Lyft taxis, food delivery services such as blue apron, grub hub, food trucks and of course airbnb.

Airbnb like the other new services exist to fill a need

All of these businesses attempt to offer consumer lower cost alternatives to the existing businesses. Unlike the existing businesses they more often than not offer something different and often better services at a cheaper price. With the advent of each of these, the protected long standing businesses have objected saying it would take away business from them or other arguments one would expect when competitors enter the market with something new and different. Isn't that what competition is all about. Airbnb offer folks a choice from an air mattress on the floor to more luxurious accommodations. While existing businesses may or may not suffer it could also be true that opening up more alternatives will expose more people to the peninsula and when one place is full they will turn to an alternative which could be the traditional bed and breakfast or a hotel.

Some differences between a bed and breakfast and an airbnb

The bed and breakfasts on the peninsula offer a luxurious setting with a fine dining breakfast experience. An airbnb does not offer breakfast and usually offers nothing more than a bed to sleep in.

A bed and breakfast has a sign airbnbs do not.

A bed and breakfast normally will allow walk ins to stay if they have a vacancy.

Airbnbs work entirely through the internet and the location is not disclosed until a reservation is confirmed on the internet website..

Classifying an airbnb as a bed and breakfast will more than likely cause them to cease operation.

If you classify a facility offering a couch or a bed and nothing more as a bed and breakfast then would you change their tax classification as well. If so, that would mean no one could economically operate their airbnb.

Other jurisdictions around the country including ones in Michigan have faced this question and have opted to treat these facilities differently rather than classify them as bed and breakfasts. They have done so in recognition of some of the points I outlined above. They want to offer alternatives. They want their citizens to be able to make some extra cash to help defray the economic burdens they face. They do not classify them for tax purposes as businesses which would wipe out any incentive to do this on a small scale.

The amendment as written could impact foreign exchange programs and people offering rooms to NMC students.

The amendment as written could conceivably sweep in anyone who is hosting a high school foreign exchange student in their home. Your definition is so broad that anyone accepting money from this program would fall under the term of the amendment. Likewise a person renting a room to a college student would fall under the provision as written.

The proposed amendment favors the wealthier citizen of the peninsula

Limiting acreage owned to 3 acres means no one who lives in a house on less than three acres can offer up their home for a stay. This smacks of elitism and seems to buttress an opinion I have heard expressed that only the wealthy can afford to live on the peninsula.

The proposed amendment aims to keep poorer people from enjoying the peninsula

If the only places offered for accommodation cost several hundred dollars a night, then the less wealthy will be unable to stay on the peninsula. Is this really the message this town wants to send out to the public. "Stay away unless you are rich. It's ok to come here and work but don't plan on staying here after dark." I am afraid that the peninsula is becoming an enclave of wealthy old folks and this amendment will only perpetuate this impression.

Suggested approach to airbnbs

Some jurisdictions have limited the number of rooms an airbnb can offer to one or two. Some have instituted a reasonable fee. Others have put a small tax on the room rate.

I suggest that before you rush this amendment through you give some more thought to how to treat airbnbs. I am afraid that if you foreclose this avenue as a choice for people visiting the peninsula by adopting this amendment, the peninsula will become more of a place where only the wealthy can visit and stay.

Sen. Debbie Lesko — at Wednesday's ceremonial bill signing — celebrates a new law she

PHOENIX — Gov. Doug Ducey is explaining why he signed a new law that allows people with one home, or dozens, to rent them out for weekends no matter what local cities and neighbors think.

The new law, which takes effect Aug. 6, overrules any existing or future city ordinances that limit short-term rentals. Communities will be allowed to regulate only things like noise and parking rules.

Ducey, in a ceremonial bill signing Wednesday engineered by Airbnb, one company that arranges such rentals through its internet app, touted the change as good for visitors seeking alternatives to hotels and resorts, and for homeowners who can make some money.

"For thousands of hardworking citizens, opening up their home to out-of-state guests provides the financial breathing room they need to provide for their family or enjoy an extra expense that they otherwise couldn't afford," Ducey said.

The law covers more than those renting out a bedroom, or their home. There is no limit on the number of properties an investor could buy and days a home could be rented out — and all in the same residential area — potentially turning an area into a vacation rental zone. Asked whether that could change the character of neighborhoods, Ducey responded, "I'm not going to answer these hypotheticals."

"The markets adjust," he said. "Somehow we survive people making entrepreneurial decisions, and innovative apps like this provide convenience and cost-sharing opportunities."

The League of Arizona Cities and Towns agreed not to oppose the measure after it was amended to preserve local health and safety ordinances.

Good afternoon Wendy,

After contemplating the challenges to provide Peninsula Township Board of Trustees (BOT) meetings to township residents I have a 90 day action plan for your consideration.

Any implementation plan will have to take into consideration the communication access challenges of township residents. Charter's PEG access system, for example, has the following limitations: the availability of coaxial cable is in a limited geographic area of the township, poor quality TV picture, and a declining number of Charter TV subscriptions.

To overcome these limitations I propose this 90 day action plan.

1. High Profile, at no expense to the Township, will video tape the July 26, 2016, BOT meeting. Following the Blair Township model the meeting will be processed and rendered for hard copy (DVD/Blu-ray) and for the internet.


Justification: By video taping the meeting I will gain an understanding of the specific camera and supporting equipment needs for a written equipment specification list. By knowing the expenses you will be able to make better informed decisions about providing meetings to the public.

2. The video will be placed on Vimeo as soon as post-production is completed.

Justification:

A. In order to reach the largest number of Township residents meetings must be available to both broadband and wireless users. As of this year less than 50% of residential homes have land lines (telephone lines). 84% of all cellular telephones are now smartphones. In addition to smartphones many tablets have smart wireless capability. Cell phones and tablets now outsell notebook and desktop computers combined. If a Peninsula Township resident does not have broadband they very likely will have wireless mobile capability. The Vimeo professional video website is specifically designed to accommodate smartphones and tablets.

B. The Vimeo system records a number of metrics to monitor videos. In Blair Township meetings are made available to the general public as soon as the post-production is completed. Most of their meetings are made available between 2:30 a.m. and 5:00 a.m. depending on the length of the recording. The highest number of viewings happens shortly after each meeting is held and then it quickly wanes over the next couple of days. This is not to say that controversial meetings don't have more opportunities for follow-up viewers (a longer shelf life).



C. The video meeting will be placed on the Township's website. Placing the video on the Township's website is a simple thing to do, in my experience. When the public views Township meetings on other website services such as Up North TV or YouTube, this lessens the opportunity for the Township to promote itself in other areas. With Blair's website, for example, we have a 39% returning viewer rate and 800 users a month for a population base of only 8,209.

In the last year BOT meeting views have averaged 42 plays per meeting. (with a high of 135 and a low of 17 meeting views) Even the low of 17 views is far greater than the average meeting gallery.

3. Control video production and leave the technical post-production to the experts.

Justification: I would advocate that it is financially more cost effective for the Township to only purchase the necessary camera equipment to record meetings while leaving the technical post-production to others.

Under one scenario the Township purchases the necessary camera equipment to record the meetings themselves. My responsibility would be to train camera users (no skill required unlike the complex Up North system) to record meetings, I would process the video and then upload it. I would also be available to record and produce other events such as outreach videos. Blair has produced 40 videos in the last 20 months with approximately 18% of them being community outreach based.

4. Meeting video archives are just as historically relevant as meeting minutes.

Justification. When a local government subscribes to services such as UP North TV they receive limited playback and no archiving. With services like Vimeo all meetings are available to the public far past their production date. Printed meeting minutes cannot describe all the dynamics that happen at a typical meeting but a video certainly will.

5. Produce Township meeting videos at no cost to taxpayers.

Justification: Based on my years of experience with PEG TV and 20 months producing videos for Blair Township, I believe that Peninsula Township could easily finance and produce Township video meetings using only Charter PEG money over the next few years. And because PEG money has been in a steady decline since approximately 2008 this would also be a wonderful time to invest in equipment with a long service life while the fund levels are adequate to do so.

Rich Powell