

PENINSULA TOWNSHIP
Special Town Board Meeting
October 11, 2018,

Transcribed Meeting Minutes

Supervisor: I would like to call the meeting to Order at 4:00 p.m. would everyone please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.

Pledge

Rob: Roll call, Isiah?

Isiah: Here.

Rob: Marge?

Marge: Here.

Rob: Dave?

Dave: Here.

Rob: Brad?

Brad: Here.

Rob: Myself, Rob. Let the record show that Joanne is at a different meeting and Warren is absent. Anyone with a conflict of interest?

Brad: None.

Marge: No.

Dave: None.

Rob: Okay, Mr. Edmondson has asked for a brief statement from his attorney prior to the proceeding. I didn't write the agenda, I don't know why we don't have public comment on there.

Marge: I wrote it and we took it off of it.

Rob: Okay, so, Mr. Bimber do you want to make a statement?

Mr. Bimber: Yes.

Rob: Please state your name and address.

Mr. Bimber: Okay.

Brad: Lola would you please give him the microphone.

Several people speaking at once.

Brad: If you would be kind enough to make sure that it is on this way we can get your statement recorded.

Okay, my name is Fred Bimber and I am here today representing Dave Edmondson.

Marge: I don't think that it is on.

Mr. Bimber: Can you hear me better now?

Marge: Yes.

Several people speaking at once.

Lola: Can you spell your last name?

Mr. Bimber: Bimber. My address: P.O. Box 4049, Traverse City, 49685-4049. I've discussed with Dave the concern that he has had about the Development Rights Program in particularly trying to make sure that it is maintained well for some time now and I've returned from a trip just yesterday morning and then Dave contacted me about what's occurred here in the last two weeks or so. He has a concern which I think is a valid well founded one. That there should be careful monitoring the program, the Township and other entities have spent a good deal of money to purchase development rights that have been purchased and it's the kind of thing where over time people come and go. The restrictions that were originally placed may not be remembered and we think both Dave and I that it really behooves the Township to carefully monitor the program to make sure that there isn't slippage overtime. I understand and I am speaking to something now which I don't have personal knowledge but I think you board members can probably valueate it that there has been one incident already where somebody remodeled a barn subject to PDR restrictions and began living in it treating it as a residence and when things actually get put in place like that it's difficult sometimes to say to people no you can't do that. There's been an investment of some money on their part and even though I think assuming the facts that have been related to me are true that should have never have been done and should not have been permitted. The response ended up being an amendment to the ordinance that allowed that. There are other concerns about situations that can be seen as developing where you maybe have somebody requesting a land division and they support that by a proposal that there is going to be an easement across other property that happens to be conservation easement restricted. I would question whether the construction of a private road over that property to serve the adjacent parcel isn't itself a violation of the development rights agreement governing the parcel that would be subservient to the easement. There are some other issues in that case not necessarily relevant to the question before you of how to go about monitoring the development rights program but the main point

that I want to make today is that it's an important program a lot of money has been spent requiring the development rights and that should be protected. It can, we think it can be best done by an independent party that's going to come to the situation with a fresh set of eyes, isn't going to be perhaps personally have been involved in the granting of a land division or something that might tend to impair the ability to look at that freshly and for the situation whether it does or would constitute a violation of the development rights restriction on the parcel to do that. To really be doing this properly, doing that function properly, the monitoring function is going to take some time and going to have to look at the development rights contracts you're going to have to go out and actually inspect the property and there should probably be also be some other review of things perhaps recent real estate transactions to see what's occurred, recent land divisions to see what's occurred perhaps what may be anticipated or planned by way of some further development property. That will all take some time. Nobody likes to spend money but this is something where some expense, money is appropriate and it is going to take somebody well focused on the issue willing to spend some time looking at a number of different things and we suggest that that will probably best accomplish by a third party. We understand that the Township put this out for bids and got two bids one was from the Conservancy and the other from another party. The Conservancy would probably be a party well-disposed to be protect you of the program, don't know about the other party involved but I would hope in the process of deciding who is going to be assigned this function you take a look at what is that party really proposed to do and what are their qualifications to do it. It is an important program and it really should be protected.

Rob: Thank you. I would just like to say Mr. Edmondson has made comments at the last few meetings I asked him and maybe your attorney could put those in writing. The first one was that the road wasn't recorded and then a week later we found out that it was but we have spent a tremendous amount of time and money currently getting all of those materials together with Mr. Oosterhouse on his question but I would like to have his question in writing to the Township so when Mr. Meihn writes his response we haven't missed something and we did get all of the documents, the land contracts and everything that he has been talking about and we are putting that package together for our attorney. We got that from Brian Graham yesterday and the other parcel that you we're talking about was Santucci and there was an agreement between the Board and that land owner to move his development right to that barn at his expense but we can review that one too and document that because what I don't want is any window coming up because we are all very cognoscente of the importance of this program and I am kind of one of the guys that started it so I am very close to it and I want it done right and I think you see what the committee has come up with that may address some of your concerns today.

Bimber: Okay, Just to comment very briefly on the point that you brought up. The comment that Dave would have made about the easement not being right, we are talking here about the retained Campbell parcels.

Rob: Yes, exactly.

Bimber: That steams from something that I had said in that the existence of that property as a separate split parcel was depended upon access and that was represented as being an easement across a different parcel. I searched the deeds records and could not find any document that would properly create that easement and I believe today that's still the case. I will reduce this to writing I am aware that someone has recorded an approval of the land division but that doesn't amount to the legal creation of an easement. I will put this in writing and you can have your attorneys look at it.

Rob: Thank you. Okay.

Voice from the audience: Can I say something?

Rob: Well you asked for the three or five minutes, briefly. I am not going to get into a debate here.

Audience speaker: No, no. no. no.

Rob: We have asked you to put it all in writing so we didn't have, so we could get answers for you and it has been over a week.

Harold Dave Edmondson, 12414 Center Road.

Recording Secretary: Twelve?

Edmondson: Four-one-four Center Road. Sally made the comment the other evening that I was providing false statements as Fred kind of cleared that up, I didn't make no false statement, I got it from her, she told me it was recorded.

Rob: We're, Dave, I would just assume, we're going to go through that.

Edmondson and Rob speaking at the same time.

Edmondson: I just want to say, I just want to say that I, I am not making false statements. I am being told that I am making false statements by the assessor and I'm not and I have proof of the fact that Fred.

Rob: Put it in writing please.

Edmondson: Okay, the other is I wanted to participate in the sub-committee meetings. I was told specifically by Marge Achorn that I cannot. In the Open Meetings Act I have all the right in the world to be there so you guys have two sub-committee meetings on this PDR issue, not, it has to be posted and the public can be there.

Rob: I believe that you checked that out with our attorney.

Edmondson: There's not, I guess that is my interruption and I am checking with Michigan Township Association same goes for the Bower's Harbor this is open to the public. Thank you.

Rob: Thank you. Okay, I know that you talked to Greg about a committee meeting.

Marge: I specifically spoke to him about the meetings that, the meeting that we had prior to the board meeting and I described the three of us and the meeting and then I asked him additionally this next meeting that we were going to have yesterday and I specifically said that would it also be a closed meeting allowable under the Open Meetings Act and he said "yes".

Rob: Okay.

Marge: And um.

Rob: It was not a quorum.

Marge: It was only three board members.

Brad: It was not a quorum.

Rob: Okay.

Isiah: For the record I would like to say my preference is always to hold committee meetings in public but given the fact that we spent the first hour of our last public meeting involved basically in an interpersonal dispute between a board member and staff I didn't feel that it would be productive for us to hold that meeting in an open forum. It's unfortunate but you know we need to be able to get business done, we need to be able to negotiate with staff on compensation for some of these things and it's critical that we be able to conduct our business and I didn't, I felt that you know pushing to allow that meeting to occur in a public forum might undermine our ability to serve the residents of the Township.

Rob: Okay, um, we will go to the first item of business here, the only item. Approve the purchase of development rights monitoring contract, Dave or Marge or Isiah, do you want to go through that with the public?

Dave: Well, I'll take the lead but this is a three-person contribution. I took the lead this morning in putting together a detailed summary on the meeting that was held yesterday. If it is okay, Mr. Chair, I would like re-cap each of you have this but for some members, you may have only seen this a few hours ago it was before noon when I was able to get this out. It was true that we did meet yesterday the task given to this sub-committee from the town board meeting on Tuesday night was to address two exact, two issues and these issues had to do with the use of the Township employee's, two in particular, to conduct the first phase of a twostep monitoring auditing program for this year. So, we spent time and I will just kind of recap. The committee reviewed the tasks involved in the first step of this two-page program that I mention and that is really the onsite investigative work, the onsite documentation but before that we heard that there is quite a bit of preparation that goes on before the visit. The parcel owner is notified and is encouraged to be present during the visit. Onsite each parcel with a conservation easement is fully documented through photographs and observations. The person

onsite making the visit identifies any changes from the prior year by referring to documentation from the prior year. Noting any potential violations of either the ordinance, a zoning ordinance or violations potentially of the easement. They also, I should have said they, the person discusses the easement with the parcel owner before leaving the property. Coming back to the office they the reporting person prepares a comprehensive report on the visit and summarizes the activities in the documentation. The final piece is that the onsite visitor and using the information gleaned summarizes reports yet to be given to the auditing function. That's the second function given to the auditor so that in depth audit can be done of parcels specifically requested by the Town Board for special, special light if you will and those parcels were parcels for example that had any change of ownership in the past year, land split activity, is a detailed list of activities also if the property owner is a member of the Township Board, one of the Township Commissions or a Township employee. That parcel will be thoroughly examined. We secondly met with Sally and Christina we discussed the tasks and we discussed the time table. That as we all know has to be done before the end of this year. There are one hundred and fourteen parcels reported to the sub-committee by the assessor. She pointed out that it could be a few more, not any less, but a few more, because again the number of parcels visited is not the number of easements. It's a number of parcels affected by the easements for example in the case of a lot split or a land split that would now have two visits, two onsite visits with those two parcels which could be part of an original one conservation easement. We discussed how much time it might take. It was brought to our attention that some of them are very simple, maybe a five-acre parcel, very, very, simple, easy to get to a forty or an eighty-acre parcel might be more difficult to get to a lot of walking involved, and we came up with meeting with Sally and Christina and the sub-committee a ball park of four to six hours. Again, it is difficult because in the past accurate time records is not available for one there was no reason to keep them. The work, again, the Town Board moved on Tuesday night to expand the job description of the assessor and the zoning administrator to include this PDR monitoring this first step which is the onsite visit and the report preparation. It is our feeling that the work should be conducted under the supervision of the Supervisor because these two individuals report to the Supervisor, planning and zoning, assessing are involved in the PDR easements and again it just happens to be a situation where it both comes together. It makes sense and the employees selected presently work within the Supervisor's organization. So the Supervisor would supervise or manage these two employees as needed. I want to point out to that came to our attention that the Conservancy, the Grand Traverse Regional Land Conservancy, Glen Chown in particular has offered.

Cell phone interrupts board member during speech.

Dave: That's okay. Has offered to meet with our staff in particular with the assessor and zoning administrator and provide some early on oversights, early on guidance if needed in terms of looking over the task's lists, providing any comments that they might make in this first phase. We then discussed the compensation with the two individuals. Made it clear that the work has to be done by the end of this year, after discussion the committee recommends compensating each employee for this additional work in the amount of eight thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars. That would be paid as an employee it would be added to their compensation on an equal level basis through the

remaining time periods of this calendar year. This equals by adding those two numbers an amount of money of sixteen thousand, five hundred dollars. As employees they would be paid separately upon submission of a travel reports and in accordance with our Township procedures and paid at the IRS reimbursement rate for this year, that's all standard. I noted when I prepared this report that this represents an estimated one hundred and fifty dollars per PDR parcel for the labor and mileage costs. I estimated that travel costs and expense would be charged to the PDR program and would not come out of the general funds in accordance with the PDR ordinance. I think I will stop at that point because the next paragraph I wrote was my sense of the meeting. I realized that last year we spent five thousand dollars and you might be asking why is this expense tripling and the sense of the meeting again looking at the tasks to be performed, looking at the average expense, I sensed in the meeting and I put in the minutes that this represents a very reasonable amount of money. I asked my two fellow members to conquer with that, it's a reasonable expenditure that PDR finds to accomplish this very detailed onsite visit, reporting and getting the information ready for the subsequent audit. Again, that the Town Board approved not on who's going to do it but approved that audit is a part of this two-legged program. Finally, we asked if this compensation of sixteen-five plus mileage as a salaried employee was acceptable to Sally and Christina and could they complete the program through year end, and the answer that I heard and recorded was that they are very much "yes" and very much willing to get started as quick as possible. I will defer to Marge and Isiah to add to this note that I put together this morning.

Marge: I fully conquer with this.

Isiah: Yeah, as do I, and as a note from outside of our meeting, I had monitoring meeting with Mike Okema from the Grand Traverse Regional Land Conservancy this morning on a piece of property, it was a monitoring meeting, on a piece of property that I own, and he had indicated that the Land Conservancy would be willing to look at both providing the third party auditing service for us as well as to have two monitors, they have two full-time monitors there and they would be willing to send one of those two people along to accompany our staff. On monitoring visits to parcels owned by members of the board, commissions or our own PDR committee to avoid conflict or the appearance of conflict.

Rob: Okay, well I think that was important the issues that were raised.

Marge: Rob. (Indicating to Rob to use microphone).

Rob: The issues that were raised a few weeks ago dealt with insurance, they dealt with private contractor and I think those have been dealt with. You have also gone farther and with the Conservancy coming forward their going to do a little review with our people if there's a change in any laws then we will have the independent audit at the end just like we do with our books where it will be checked out and then anybody who has a title with the Township or an association they will be audited by the third party, independent third party, and I think that's, that's what the community would like to see. I would say that I support this too because of the several thousand acres of land under conservation easement people come in on those lands constantly asking about a

building, or what they can do, or a split and these are the individuals that deal with it on a day to day basis in the Township and protect those easements. They are familiar with the easements. I didn't bring the other two bids, the one gentleman I think was going to do everything on the weekend and was actually asking to use staff to, where do we come up with the stuff and where's the easements which these people already have that institutional knowledge. The Conservancy's bid was sort of if we have to get a full-time person they really didn't give a quote but kind of a spectrum of what they would do. But I agree, I talked with Mike, actually today too, he would be happy at the first of the week to meet with Christina and Sally and then whatever we determine, and I guess it would probably be an hourly thing to do the audit so, the independent third-party audit with the Conservancy I think is very good too. So, I am in favor of the proposal as presented.

Brad: I do have a question, though I think I know, I know you didn't bring the bids with you but is it a reasonable business assumption that those two other bids were probably or could be higher than what is being proposed here?

Isiah: Yes, the um.

Brad: Go ahead.

Isiah: The bid from the independent guy was I believe about twenty-seven thousand, five hundred.

Brad: Say that again.

Isiah: It was about twenty-seven thousand, five hundred.

Brad: Okay.

Isiah: And it would have required us to allocate staff time.

Brad: Plus, staff time.

Isiah: For the completion of the project as well.

Brad: Alright.

Isiah: Um, and then the Conservancy did I believe what was about.

Marge: Sixty thousand.

Isiah: About sixty thousand dollars. It was roughly an FT with benefits included.

Brad: Would it require staff time as well?

Isiah: Uh, no, they really didn't provide us with a bid. They provided us with an estimate.

Brad: Or a scope?

Isiah: An estimated cost for hiring a full-time.

Rob: Person for that many I believe.

Isiah: Yeah, a full-time person to cover contract monitoring. In my conversation with Mike today it sounds like a hundred and fifteen in monitoring projects for the Conservancy would be quite a bit larger scope than a hundred and fifteen would be out here. Largely because they, with their easements they heavily restrict the number of splits that are allowed so a hundred and fifteen monitoring visits would be tied back to a hundred and fifteen separate easement contracts, whereas ours do entail some level of child parcels that have been separated off from larger parent parcels overtime so I think continuing our conversation with the Conservancy about monitoring when we are not able to leverage the institutional knowledge that we have with current staff with Rob who has been associated with this program since its inception. I think that, that may make sense as a future option for us but for now after evaluating the problem we feel that staff with an independent third party overseeing. Not overseeing but auditing the process would be sufficient. To make sure.

Brad: And to be present to make sure that they go onto a Town Board members.

Isiah: Correct.

Brad: Conservancy, PDR property.

Isiah: Yep.

Brad: Which is smart, I think.

Marge: We, we specifically listed in our prior meeting minutes that the Township should hire the third party to conduct an audit of the annual monitoring for two thousand eighteen and we specifically said the Conservancy, Networks Northwest or some other similar type of qualified independent organization that could be considered and this third party would be specifically requested to pay special attention to any property held by a Township Board member and possibly a prior Township Board member if that happened to be the case, PDR selection committee member, Township Commission or committee member who may have conflict of interest. Township employee or any property owner who has made a change in the easement property during the review period including change in ownership, application for land use permit or special use permit or violation of the ordinance. We probably could have added or anything else that may cause us to request that special attention be paid to that particular parcel. Now that doesn't limit their audit authority. They will be auditing all the records that our staff will be doing the monitoring on the ground and so this is the best of both worlds for this year and ongoing as a policy move so that going into the future our residents are well aware that we are concerned that our PDR project is properly monitored, independently monitored and any possible abuse or detriment to the PDR project in going on to the future will

not, will not happen because we have tried to put in the stop gaps for any possible errors in monitoring.

Brad: The way I look at it and since this is our open dialog from a financial standpoint, we're proposing sixteen thousand, five hundred. Which is one hundred percent staff time and some mileage. These two other bids as a general direction, the one is twenty-seven thousand, five hundred plus staff time and I think we also have to realistically add in or project the audit firm just like our audit firm anywhere between five to ten thousand dollars. If that's the case doing with the staff and the audit firm that would be total of about twenty-six thousand, five-hundred still under the independent party and not adding in the staff time so one way or the other staff is going to be involved in the process. You can't, there's no way around it unless we, I don't understand how that's even possible.

Isiah: Well, and I think with the lower bid you know long term if we're faced with a scenario where Sally was moving on or Christina was moving on and we didn't have the kind of institutional knowledge in house that we have now it would make sense I think to turn over the project to an institutional like the Grand Traverse Regional Land Conservancy. I would also have concerns with the independent proposal that we saw that there would be cost overruns particularly on the staff side this year because the guy that submitted the bid admitted that he is not familiar with our program.

Brad: I see.

Isiah: Doesn't have the documents on hand and if you are dealing with an individual in that sense who doesn't do PDR monitoring full-time or professionally there would be the risks that you could lose that person after one year and go through the expense of process of getting everything on-line a second time next year.

Rob: And as Mr. Bimber pointed out that things change on those and there are restricted home sites available so they would actually be coming in and working through our office to get a building permit on that so those are the kinds of things that someone you contract with would have to be brought up to speed on with staff time and you know anything else going on permitting of a building or something to make sure that it was in, not outside of the easement. Any further discussion?

Isiah: I think as we move forward with this just making sure that we've got oversight of the program, I think that we have qualified staff to handle monitoring at this point in particularly with the Conservancy looking over their shoulder but I think as we move forward we need to be looking at just how we are going to avoid risk and how we're going to address the kinds of concerns that have been raised by Mr. Edmondson and Mr. Bimber about, you know, just to make sure we have solid documentation where there are easements or developments on PDR property to make sure that we are doing everything the right way and that we've good documentation to show it.

Both Marge and Dave begin speaking at the same time.

Dave: I don't focus, or I should say I do want to focus on the audit and the transparency but I would also was very pleased in our meeting yesterday to find out that last year when our staff went out, I use the word public relations, customer relations, I heard each of the individuals state that they met with the landowner, they opened a dialog with the landowner and I know in the case of one large parcel for sale out here in the Township on Center Road it is in the Conservancy, easement I should say, and I know good and well that someone wishing to buy that parcel is going to come into the office and want to talk to our staff about that parcel and the fact that a member of our staff has been on site on that parcel and is familiar with the parcel has gone through can offer good information to that prospective owner to that parcel for sale. Make a long story short, I told Sally and Christina I was very pleased to hear when they go out there and make these visits there usually representing the face of PDR and this Township. They're really representing the Township in the PDR program, which is a positive that we get over having someone that doesn't live on the Peninsula or doesn't work on the Peninsula you know just come out and snap a picture and come back and write a report. There's really a plus that I saw and what I heard yesterday.

Marge: I also wanted to say that when we had our meeting with them, we asked them exactly what they do, what they are documentation is. What they had in prior years to bring them into last year's monitoring project and what they propose to add to that documentation going forward and they gave us a very detailed and very elaborate listing of everything that they do when they are doing this monitoring.

Brad: So that's the last two pages, Marge?

Marge: Yes.

Brad: In this packet?

Marge: Yes.

Brad: Cause I was impressed but I didn't realize summation.

Dave: That was at our request. The committee asked two individuals to please give us a very detailed list saying what I call deliverables what are you going to be doing and Sally prepared this I believe with the help of Christina but it came from Sally to my email yesterday and I was again very impressed with the high quality of the work that is being done here.

Marge: But at the meeting, you know, much of this was told to us face to face.

Rob: Okay, what's the pleasure of the board?

Marge: I move that we request additional payroll for Christina Deeren and Sally Akerley, eight-thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars each to be paid ratably over their pay periods through December 31st and that any additional mileage expenses be reimbursed to them as a normal expense report.

Rob: For monitoring.

Marge: For monitoring the two thousand eighteen PDR requirements.

Dave: If I could add to that motion, Mr. Supervisor, that you would supervise these two individuals.

Rob: What we normally did in previous years is that they would put that in a monthly report to the, to the Town Board where they were, how it was going and you have to remember if they do see something it is not their job to correct it. It's brought to us for the enforcement so they are kind of out just looking at the property's seeing something then they bring it back for us so.

Dave: Could I amend your motion to add the oversight by the Supervisor from an organizational or functional point of view?

Marge: Yes.

Rob: And do you want to attach this document to the motion?

Brad: You mean the checklist or all the pages?

Rob: Well there is only four, up to you.

Brad: Yes, I think they should.

Dave: You could add to carry out the tasks identified.

Rob: Just so it all shows up.

Dave: I got it.

Rob: Together so the public can read it.

Marge: And, and that attached to this additional compensation package would be these four pages of the committee report and the detailed listing of their procedures in their monitoring.

Rob: Okay, and you in support?

Dave: I would be happy to.

Brad: Yes, sir, please.

Dave: I'll support, I was on the committee.

Rob: Okay.

Dave: I'll defer to the Treasurer.

Brad: That's fine. I want to ask one question, then I, is do we need to mention, sorry about this ladies and gentlemen, the motion that we are also going to have a third party auditor firm?

Dave: That was in the motion on Tuesday night. It was a, there were two motions.

Brad: I thought I should just ask.

Dave: That I made Tuesday night, a second motion.

Brad: Then I second the motion made on the floor as it pertains to the PDR monitoring by staff and the compensation as noted with the additional mileage as noted, supervised by Mr. Manigold as noted and we will attach these four pages into the record as noted.

Rob: Alright, we have a motion supported are there further discussions?

Brad: None.

Rob: Roll call, Marge?

Marge: Yes.

Rob: Isiah?

Isiah: Yes.

Rob: Dave?

Dave: Yes.

Rob: Brad?

Brad: Yes.

Rob: And myself, yes, unanimous. Citizen comments, Nancy?

Nancy R. Heller, 3901 Blue Water Road, I would ask this board on conversations with attorney that you would have some sort of back up in writing so there's no miss-interruption, you're asking the public to do this I think it would be wise for the board so that it's clear and for the file. I've been to several committee meetings, board meetings I don't know which ones but there's been several requests for these last minute handouts to have some copies for the audience if it's too large of a document then get it in packet form and get it on the website even if it is last minute. It's unfair for people sitting in the audience and a lot of time being taken up in conversation on documents that no one's seen but the board. Thirdly, several meetings ago, thirty thousand dollars was approved to the Land Conservancy and I don't know what that was for other than for possibly grants. I

recall they meet with the PDR committee though I believe so can any of this source be used in this? You don't have to answer but just putting it out.

Rob: I believe you want to look at the contract. There were certain things in the contract.

Heller: Right, but.

Rob: We didn't put monitoring in there.

Heller: So you can't push it at all. Okay, Thanks.

Rob: It would be nice. Okay, Dave.

Edmondson: State my name again?

Recorder: I got it okay.

Marge: Please use the microphone.

Edmondson: I think by stepping it up here you've done an excellent job and so we've figured it out with all of this commotion and wrangling's going on. I think that you moved in a good direction so thank you and whoever is going to do the monitoring hopefully they can capture what I feel is wrong on a contract that I have and so, bring integrity to the whole program and hopefully fix what I think is wrong. And so again, I think all the fuss that we had here produced a better outcome and I guess that I am disappointed for some of the activity between staff and elected officials that happened, that was totally uncalled for., but.

Rob: We are not going down

Edmondson: Okay.

Rob: That lane right now.

Edmondson: Anyway, anyway I think you came up with a good decision.

Rob: Okay.

Edmondson: I support you. Thank you, cause I am really supportive of the program, it's a good deal, and I want to continue to support it if you guys don't drop the ball.

Rob: Thank you for supporting us in this decision.

Edmondson: Thank you.

Rob: And again, I would like to please get it in writing. I know that you've been in and talked to Dave, Gordon, myself.

Edmondson: Yeah.

Rob: We've got thirty hours in on this already. We think we know what you want but I don't, before I ask Greg for that, the legal opinion, I would like to have it in writing.

Bimber: Do you want me to submit the writings to you at the Township or Greg Meihn directly?

Rob: Whichever you prefer.

Bimber: Well.

Brad: Probably you and Township counsel.

Bimber: Simultaneous.

Rob: Sure, that will be fine.

Brad: Sorry.

Rob: Okay, board member comments?

Brad: None.

Dave: None.

Rob: Thank you Lola for the last minute to come over and do all this. We would entertain a motion to adjourn.

Brad: So moved.

Isiah: Support.

Dave: Support.

Rob: All those in favor signify by saying "I".

Brad: I.

Dave: I.

Marge: I.

Isiah: I.

